

REMARKS

The Office Action dated April 15, 2010 has been received and carefully reviewed. The above amendments and the following remarks are being submitted as a full and complete response thereto.

Claim 6 has been rejected, Claims 1-5 are objected to, and Claims 7-10 are withdrawn. Claims 1 and 6 have been amended. Thus, Claims 1-6 are pending in this application. Applicants submit that no new matter is added. Support for the amendment to Claim 6 can be found in the originally-filed specification at page 36, lines 6-8. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of all rejections and objections.

Claim Objection

Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the informalities. Applicants submit that Claim 1 has been amended pursuant to Examiner's recommendation. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the objection.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicants' Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Examiner rejected Claim 6 because it "does not exclude the use of visual observation by the operator." Office Action at 3. Applicants submit that the present amendment to Claim 6 overcomes the rejection because the AAPA does not teach or anticipate "[a] method for detecting a position of a wheel tilting angle adjustment member *without visual observation by the operator*, wherein a position of a wheel mounted on a vehicle is detected through the wheel tilting angle adjustment member

which adjusts a tilting angle of the wheel, and the position of the wheel tilting angle adjustment member is calculated on the basis of the detected wheel position and relative position information of the wheel tilting angle adjustment member with respect to the wheel, the relative position information being previously set for each vehicle type.”

For at least the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the AAPA does not anticipate Claim 6. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that this application is in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. If the Examiner believes that anything further is desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below to schedule a personal or telephone interview to discuss any remaining issues.

In the event that this paper is not being timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension, together with any additional fees that may be due with respect to this paper, may be charged to Counsel's Deposit Account Number 01-2300, referencing Docket Number 107355-00183.

Respectfully submitted,



Taniel E. Anderson
Registration Number 64,431

Customer Number 04372
ARENT FOX LLP
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Suite 400
Washington, DC 20036-5339
Telephone: 202-857-6000
Fax: 202-638-4810

TEA:vw